

To: Barnes, Edlynzia[Barnes.Edlynzia@epa.gov]
From: Hinchey, Elizabeth
Sent: Wed 6/29/2016 9:10:48 PM
Subject: FW: new map for Progress Report of the Parties

Note that Sue Humphrey approved it...

From: Humphrey, Susan (EC) [<mailto:susan.humphrey@canada.ca>]
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2016 4:57 PM
To: Wortman, Santina <Wortman.Santina@epa.gov>; E, Sandra (EC) <sandra.e@canada.ca>;
 Hyde, Tinka <hyde.tinka@epa.gov>
Cc: Hinchey, Elizabeth <Hinchey.Elizabeth@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: new map for Progress Report of the Parties

Hi Santina,

Sorry for delay in responding. In case you're still awaiting our feedback...

I'll say it looks good for the Canadian side, because it's easy to distinguish between the two watersheds with nearshore blooms.

However, the six US watersheds with nearshore blooms all blend together to look like one mega-watershed with the new colour scheme, at least on my screen they do, so you might want to adjust that.

For what that's worth late on a Friday... ☺

S.

From: Wortman, Santina [<mailto:Wortman.Santina@epa.gov>]
Sent: 2016 June 17 6:06 PM
To: E, Sandra (EC); Hyde, Tinka; Humphrey, Susan (EC)
Cc: Hinchey, Elizabeth
Subject: new map for Progress Report of the Parties

Hi all – recall the map we had in the Annex 4 chapter was a placeholder; we received feedback that it was difficult to read so I’ve tried to improve that with this version. Adopted a new, simpler color scheme and removed the actual tributary load values because they aren’t critical for this graphic. Still need to fix up the legend but here’s a preview – any comments or suggestions let me know!

Santina Wortman

U.S. EPA, Region 5

(312) 353-8319

wortman.santina@epa.gov